

Payment Card Industry (PCI) Point-to-Point Encryption

Template for Report on Validation for use with P2PE v2.0 (Revision 1.1) for P2PE Application validated as part of a Merchant-Managed Solution

Revision 1.0

February 2016



Document Changes

Date	Use with Version	Template Revision	Description
February 2016	For use with P2PE v2.0, Revision1.1	Revision1.0	To introduce the template for validating P2PE Reports on Validation for P2PE Applications assessed against the P2PE v2 Standard and validated as part of a Merchant-Managed Solution. <i>This document serves as both the Reporting Template and Reporting Instructions</i> <i>document; there are not separate documents for this under P2PE v2 as there are</i> <i>still under P2PE v1</i>



Table of Contents

Docume	nt Changes	i
Introduc	tion to the P-ROV Template for P2PE Applications validated as part of a Merchant-Managed Solution	3
P-ROV	Sections 3 Summary of Findings Reporting Details	
Do's and	I Don'ts: Reporting Expectations	6
	Application Template for P2PE v2 Standard (Rev 1.1)	
1. Cor	ntact Information and Report Date	7
1.1	Contact Information	
1.2	Date and timeframe of assessment	7
1.3	P2PE Version	8
2. Sur	nmary Overview	9
2.1	P2PE Application Details	9
2.2	Versioning Methodology	
2.3	Other Third-Party Service Provider entities involved in P2PE Application	9
2.4	PTS Devices Supported	. 10
2.5	Summary of P2PE Compliance Status	. 10
3. Det	ails and Scope of P2PE Assessment	. 12
3.1	Application details	. 12
3.2	Overview of P2PE Application data flow	. 12
3.3	Application dependencies	. 13
3.4	Application authentication mechanisms	
3.5	Facilities	
3.6	Documentation Reviewed	
3.7	Individuals Interviewed	
4. Fin	dings and Observations	.16
Domai	in 2: Application Security – Summary of Findings	. 16
Domai	in 2: Application Security – Reporting	. 17



Introduction to the P-ROV Template for P2PE Applications validated as part of a Merchant-Managed Solution

This document, the *PCI Point-to-Point Encryption: Template for Report on Validation for use with P2PE v2.0 (Rev 1.1) for P2PE Application validated as part of a Merchant-Managed Solution, Revision 1.0* ("MMS Application P-ROV Reporting Template"), is the mandatory template for completing a P2PE Report on Validation (P-ROV) for P2PE Application assessments against the *P2PE: Solution Requirements and Testing Procedures, v2.0 (Rev 1.1)* ("P2PE v2 Standard") where the P2PE Application validation is being managed by the Merchant acting as their own P2PE Solution Provider (Merchant-Managed Solution). This Reporting Template provides reporting instructions and the template form for PA-QSA (P2PE) assessors to provide a more consistent level of reporting among assessors.

Use of this Reporting Template is mandatory for completing a P2PE Report on Validation for a P2PE Application validated as part of a Merchant-Managed Solution that is not being listed.

Tables have been included in this template to facilitate the reporting process for certain lists and other information as appropriate. The tables in this template may be modified to increase/decrease the number of rows, or to change column width. Additional appendices may be added if the assessor feels there is relevant information to be included that is not addressed in the current format. However, the assessor must not remove any details from the tables provided in this document. Personalization, such as the addition of company logos, is acceptable but limited to the title page.

Do not delete any content from any place in this document, including this section and the versioning above. These instructions are important for the assessor as they complete reporting, but also provide context for for the report recipient(s). Addition of text or sections is applicable within reason, as noted above.

A P2PE compliance assessment involves thorough testing and assessment activities, from which the assessor will generate detailed work papers. These work papers contain comprehensive records of the assessment activities, including observations, results of system testing, configuration data, file lists, interview notes, documentation excerpts, references, screenshots, and other evidence collected during the course of the assessment. The P-ROV is effectively a *summary of evidence* derived from the assessor's work papers to describe how the assessor performed the validation activities and how the resultant findings were reached. At a high level, the P-ROV provides a comprehensive *summary of testing activities performed and information collected* during the assessment against the P2PE v2 Standard. The information contained in a P-ROV must provide enough detail and coverage to verify that the P2PE validation is compliant with all applicable P2PE requirements.

P-ROV Sections

The P-ROV includes the following sections that must be completed in their entirety:

- Section 1: Contact Information and Report Date
- Section 2: Summary Overview
- Section 3: Details and Scope of P2PE Assessment



- Section 4: Findings and Observations

This Reporting Template includes tables with Reporting Instructions built-in. Details provided should focus on concise quality of detail, rather than lengthy, repeated verbiage.

P-ROV Summary of Findings

This version of the P2PE Reporting Template reflects an on-going effort to simplify assessor summary reporting. All summary findings for "In Place," "Not in Place," and "Not Applicable" are found at the beginning of each Domain and are only addressed at that high-level. A summary of all domain findings is also at "2.9 Summary of P2PE Compliance Status."

The following table is a representation when considering which selection to make. Remember, assessors must select only one response at the subrequirement level, and the selected response must be consistent with reporting within the remainder of the P-ROV and other required documents, such as the relevant P2PE Attestation of Validation (P-AOV).

RESPONSE	WHEN TO USE THIS RESPONSE:	
In Place	The expected testing has been performed, and all elements of the requirement have been met as stated. This may be a mix of In Place and Not Applicable responses, but no Not in Place response. Requirements fulfilled by other P2PE Components or Third Parties should be In Place, unless the requirement does not apply.	
Not in Place	Place Some or all elements of the requirement have not been met, or are in the process of being implemented, or require further testing before it will be known if they are in place.	
N/A (Not Applicable)	The requirement does not apply to the P2PE Product. All Not Applicable responses require reporting on testing performed and must explain how it was determined that the requirement does not apply.	

Note: Checkboxes have been added to the "Summary of Assessment Findings" so that the assessor may double click to check the applicable summary result. Hover over the box you'd like to mark and click once to mark with an 'x.' To remove a mark, hover over the box and click again. Mac users may instead need to use the space bar to add the mark



P-ROV Reporting Details

The reporting instructions in the Reporting Template are clear as to the intention of the response required. There is no need to repeat the testing procedure, the reporting instruction, or such within each assessor response. As noted earlier, responses should be specific, but simple. Details provided should focus on concise quality of detail, rather than lengthy, repeated verbiage.

Assessor responses will generally fall into categories such as the following:

"Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms..."

Indicates only an affirmative response where further reporting is deemed unnecessary by PCI SSC. The P2PE Assessor's name or a Not Applicable response are the two appropriate responses here. A Not Applicable response will require brief reporting to explain how this was confirmed via testing.

Document name or interviewee reference

At 3.7 Documentation Reviewed and 3.8 Individuals Interviewed, there is a space for a reference number and *it is the P2PE Assessor's choice* to use the document name/interviewee job title or the reference number in responses. A listing is sufficient here, no further detail required.

Sample reviewed

Brief list is expected or sample identifier. Again, where applicable, it is the P2PE Assessor's choice to list out each sample within reporting or to utilize sample identifiers from the sampling summary table.

Brief description/short answer – "Describe how..."

These are the only reporting instructions that will stretch across half of the table; the above are all a quarter-table's width to serve as a visual indicator of detail expected in response. These responses must be a narrative response that provides explanation as to the observation—both a summary of what was witnessed and how that verified the criteria of the testing procedure.



Do's and Don'ts: Reporting Expectations

DO:	DON'T:
 Use the corresponding Reporting Template Standard. 	or v2.0 of the P2PE • Don't report items in the "In Place" column unless they have been verified as being "in place."
 Complete all sections in the order specified Read and understand the intent of each Re Procedure. 	
 Provide a response for every Testing Proce 	
 Provide sufficient detail and information to "in place" or "not applicable." 	 Don't copy responses from previous assessments. Don't include information irrelevant to the assessment.
 Describe how a Requirement was verified a Instruction directs, not just that it was verified 	
Ensure all parts of the Testing Procedure a	addressed.
 Ensure the response covers all applicable system components. 	plication and/or
 Perform an internal quality assurance revie clarity, accuracy, and quality. 	of the P-ROV for
 Provide useful, meaningful diagrams, as di 	cted.



P-ROV Application Template for P2PE v2 Standard (Rev 1.1)

This template is to be used for creating a P2PE Report on Validation for P2PE Applications assessed against P2PE v2 as part of a Merchant-Managed Solution and not listed. Content and format for this P-ROV is defined as follows:

1. Contact Information and Report Date

1.1 Contact Information					
P2PE Application Vendor contact information					
Company name:		Company URL:			
Company contact name:		Contact e-mail address:			
Contact phone number:		Company address:			

P2PE Assessor Company contact information				
Company name:		Assessor Company Credentials:	QSA (P2PE)	PA-QSA (P2PE)
Assessor name:		Assessor Credentials:	QSA (P2PE)	PA-QSA (P2PE)
Assessor phone number:		Assessor e-mail address:		
Confirm that internal QA was fully performed on the entire P2PE validation documentation, per requirements in relevant program		☐ Yes		
documentation.	er requirements in relevant program	□ No (if no, this is not in acco	rdance with PCI Program	requirements)

1.2 Date and	timeframe of assessment		
Date of Report:		Timeframe of assessment:	



1.3 P2PE Version

Version of the P2PE Standard used for the assessment (should be 2.0):



2. Summary Overview

2.1 P2PE Appl	ication Details				
P2PE application name:		Application version:			
Description of appli	cation function/purpose:				
Description of how	the application is designed (for exar	nple, as a standalon	e application, in modules, or as part of a suite of applications):		
Description of how	application stores, processes and/o	r transmits account o	data:		
2.2 Versioning	2.2 Versioning Methodology				
-	the vendor indicates application cha prsioning methodology:	nges via their			
	of changes the vendor includes as a P2PE Program Guide for informati pact Change):	-			

2.3 Other Third-Party Service Provider entities involved in P2PE Application

This could include third-party service providers in use as applicable, including authorized Integrator/Resellers and such.

"Other details" is to be used as needed. For example, if there is a third-party service provider providing decryption services but it not a P2PE Component at 2.2, use "Other details" to address data such as P2PE endpoint system identifier (e.g., Host System and HSM). Mark as "n/a" if no other details are needed.

Entity Name: Role/Function: E	Entity Location(s): Other Details, if needed:	
-------------------------------	---	--



2.4 PTS Devices Supported					
List of all POI device types supported and tested as part of Application's P2PE Assessment.					
PTS Approval #:	Make/ Manufacturer:	Model Name/ Number:	Hardware #:	Firmware #(s):*	

Note: P2PE applications and P2PE non-payment software do not meet the PTS definition of "firmware" and are not reviewed as part of the PTS POI assessment. Additionally, software meeting the PTS definition of "firmware" is not reassessed during a P2PE assessment (PTS firmware is not considered a P2PE payment application, nor is it P2PE non-payment software).

2.5 Summary of P2PE Compliance Status				
P2PE Domain	Compliant	Comments (optional):		
Domain 1 – Encryption Device and Application Management		N/A		
Domain 2 – Application Security	🗌 Yes 🗌 No			
Domain 3 – P2PE Solution Management		N/A		
Domain 4 – Merchant-managed Solutions		N/A		
Domain 5 – Decryption Environment		N/A		
Domain 6 – P2PE Cryptographic Key Operations and Device Management		N/A		
Domain 6 – Annex A1: Symmetric-Key Distribution using Asymmetric Techniques		N/A		

PCI Point-to-Point Encryption: Template for Report on Validation for use with P2PE v2.0 (Rev 1.1), Revision 1.0 for P2PE Application validated as part of a Merchant-Managed Solution © 2016 PCI Security Standards Council, LLC. All Rights Reserved. Page 10



Domain 6 – Annex A2: Certification and Registration Authority Operations	N/A
Domain 6 – Annex B: Key-Injection Facilities	N/A



3. Details and Scope of P2PE Assessment

3.1 Application details

For each POI the application was tested on:

- Provide detailed descriptions and/or diagrams to illustrate how the application functions in a typical implementation.
- For all application functions, provide the following:
 - o Description of all application processes related to each function
 - Description of all communication channels, connection methods, and communication protocols used by the application for all internal and external communication channels
 - o Details of any protection mechanisms (for example, encryption, truncation, masking, etc.) applied to account data by the application
 - o Other necessary application functions or processes, as applicable
- Identify any functionality of the application that was not included in the assessment

<Insert P2PE Application detailed diagram(s)>

3.2 Overview of P2PE Application data flow

For each POI the application was tested on:

- Provide <u>high-level</u> data flow diagram(s) that shows details of all flows of account data, including:
 - o All flows and locations of encrypted account data (including data input, output, and within the POI)
 - All flows and locations of cleartext account data (including data input, output, and within the POI)
- Identify the following for each data flow:
 - o How and where account data is transmitted, processed, and/or stored
 - The types of account data involved (for example, full track, PAN, expiry date, etc.)
 - o All components involved in the transmission, processing, or storage of account data

Note: Include all types of data flows, including any output to hard copy/paper media.

<Insert P2PE Application data flow diagram(s)>



3.3 Application dependencies

Identify and list all application dependencies, including software and hardware components required for necessary functioning of the application.

Description of component necessary for application functioning	Type of component (for example, software, hardware)	Role of component

3.4 Application authentication mechanisms		
Describe the application's end-to-end authentication methods, as follows:		
Authentication mechanisms:		
Authentication database:		
Security of authentication data storage:		

3.5 Facilities

Lab environment used by the P2PE Assessor for this assessment				
Identify whether the lab was provided by the P2PE Assessor or the Application Vendor:	P2PE Assessor's Lab	Application Vendor's Lab		
Address of the lab environment used for this assessment:				
Describe the lab environment used for this assessment:				



t of all application vendor facilities INCLUDED in this Application assessment			
Description and purpose of facility included in assessment Address of facility			

List of application vendor facilities EXCLUDED from this Application assessment				
Description and purpose of facility excluded from assessment	Address of facility	Explanation why the facility was excluded from the assessment		



3.6 Documentation Reviewed

Identify and list all reviewed documents below. Add additional rows as needed.

Note: If the P2PE Application Implementation Guide consists of more than one document, the brief description below should explain the purpose of each document it includes, such as if it is for a different POIs, for different functions, etc.

P2PE Application Implementation Guide(s) (IG):

Reference # (optional use)	Document Name (Title of the IG)	Version Number of the IG	Document date (latest version date)	Which POI device type(s) is addressed? (Must align with Section 2.5)
All other doc	cumentation reviewed for this P2PE Asses	ssment:		
Reference # (optional use)	Document Name (including version, if applicable)	Document date (latest version date)	Document Purpose

3.7 Individuals Interviewed					
List of all perso	List of all personnel interviewed for this Application assessment:				
Reference # (optional use) Interviewee's Name Company Job Title					



4. Findings and Observations

Domain 2: Application Security – Summary of Findings

	Domain 2: P2PE Validation Requirements		Summary of Findings (check one)		
			N/A	Not in Place	
2A	Protect PAN and SAD				
2A-1	The application executes on a PCI-approved POI device with SRED enabled and active.				
2A-2	The application does not store PAN and/or SAD for any longer than business processes require.				
2A-3	The application does not transmit clear-text PAN and/or SAD outside of the POI device, and only uses communication methods included in the scope of the PCI-approved POI device evaluation.				
2B	Develop and maintain secure applications.			,	
2 B -1	The application is developed and tested according to industry-standard software development life cycle practices that incorporate information security.				
2B-2	The application is implemented securely, including the secure use of any resources shared between different applications.				
2B-3	The application vendor uses secure protocols, provides guidance on their use, and performs integration testing on the final application.				
2B-4	Applications do not implement any encryption functions in lieu of SRED encryption. All such functions are performed by the approved SRED firmware of the POI device.				
2C	Implement secure application-management processes.				
2C-1	New vulnerabilities are discovered and applications are tested for those vulnerabilities on an ongoing basis.				
2C-2	Applications are installed and updates are implemented only via trusted and cryptographically authenticated processes using an approved security mechanism evaluated for the PCI-approved POI device.				
2C-3	Maintain instructional documentation and training programs for the application's installation, maintenance/upgrades, and use.				



Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions	and Assessor's Findings
 2A-1.1 The application must be intended for use on a device approved per the PC and exchange of data). The PTS approval listing must match the following charace Model name and number Hardware version number Firmware version number SRED listed as a function provided. 		ED or SCR), with SRED (secure reading
2A-1.1 For each POI device type used by the application, examine the POI device configurations and review the PCI SSC list of Approved PTS Devices to verify that all of the following POI device characteristics match the PTS listing:		application, describe how the POI device Il of the POI device characteristics at 2A-
 Model name/number Hardware version number Firmware version number SRED listed as a function provided. 	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2A-1.2 The application must only use the PTS SRED-validated account-data cap P2PE transactions.	ture mechanisms of the underlying POI of	device for accepting and processing
2A-1.2 For each type of POI device being assessed as part of the application assessment, verify that the application only uses SRED-validated account data capture mechanisms.	For each POI device type used in the application, describe how the application only uses SRED-validated account data capture mechanisms: <i>Report Findings Here></i>	
2A-2.1 The application vendor must document all flows and justify all uses of PAN	N and/or SAD input into, processed by, a	nd output from the application.
2A-2.1.a Interview software personnel and examine the application's design documentation to verify it documents all flows and justifies all uses of PAN	Software personnel interviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
and/or SAD input into, processed by, and output from the application.	Application design documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2A-2.1.b Perform a source-code review and verify that PAN and/or SAD are only utilized according to the documentation.	Describe how the source-code review utilized according to the documentatio	verified that PAN and/or SAD are only n:
	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
 2A-2.2 The application must not store PAN and/or SAD (even if encrypted) as foll Application must not store PAN data after the payment transaction is comple Application must not store SAD after authorization is complete. Note: Storage of encrypted PAN data is acceptable during the business process However, at all times, SAD is not stored after authorization is complete. 	te.	eeded (e.g., offline transactions).



Requirements and Testing Procedures Reporting Instructions and Assess		
 2A-2.2.a Examine the application's design documentation and verify it includes a description of the following: How it uses PAN and/or SAD for its application processing. How it ensures the application does not store PAN after the payment transaction is complete. How it ensures the application does not store SAD after authorization is complete. 	Application design documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2A-2.2.b Perform a source-code review to verify that the application is designed such that:	Describe how the source-code review ve such that PAN is not stored after the pay	
PAN is not stored after the payment transaction is completed.SAD is not stored after authorization is completed.	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
• SAD is not stored after authorization is completed.	Describe how the source-code review verified that the application is designed such that SAD is not stored after authorization is completed:	
	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2A-2.2.c Install and configure the application according to the application vendor's documentation, including the application's Implementation Guide.	Describe test transactions performed (must utilize all functions of the application that handle account data):	
Using an appropriate "test platform" (if necessary), perform test transactions that utilize all functions of the application that handle account data. Use forensic tools and/or methods (commercial tools, scripts, etc.) to verify that:		
 PAN is not stored after the payment transaction is completed. SAD is not stored after authorization is completed. 	Describe forensic tools and/or methods used to inspect the test transactions	
	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2A-2.3 The application must not retain PAN and/or SAD in working memory any le	onger than strictly necessary.	
2A-2.3.a Examine the application's design documentation and verify it contains a detailed description of the function of the application, including how it ensures the application does not retain PAN and/or SAD in working memory any longer than strictly necessary.	Application design documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2A-2.3.b Perform a source-code review and verify that PAN and/or SAD is cleared from all working memory locations after use, including local variables (before exiting the function).	Describe how the source-code review verified that PAN and/or SAD is clear from all working memory locations after use, including local variables (before exiting the function):	



Demoissing of the difference of the second second	Barrantin a la star ti	u d Anna ang ang Eta dia ang	
Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions a	¥	
2A-2.3.c Install and configure the application according to the application vendor's documentation, including the application's Implementation Guide.	Describe test transactions performed (m application that handle account data):	ust utilize all functions of the	
Using an appropriate "test platform" (if necessary), perform test transactions that utilize all functions of the application that handle account data. Use forensic	<report findings="" here=""></report>		
tools and/or methods (commercial tools, scripts, etc.) to verify the application clears all working memory locations utilized for the temporal retention of PAN	Describe forensic tools and/or methods	used to inspect the test transactions:	
and/or SAD during processing.	<report findings="" here=""></report>		
2A-2.4 The application must securely delete any PAN and/or SAD stored during a	application processing.		
2A-2.4.a Examine the application's design documentation and verify it describes the process used by the application to securely delete any PAN and/or SAD stored during application processing.	Application design documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2A-2.4.b Perform a source-code review and verify that the process provided by the application vendor renders all stored PAN and/or SAD irrecoverable once application processing is completed, in accordance with industry-accepted	Describe how the source-code renders all stored PAN and/or SAD irrecoverable once application processing is completed, in accordance with industry-accepted standards for secure deletion of data:		
standards for secure deletion of data.	<report findings="" here=""></report>		
2A-2.4.c Install and configure the application according to the application vendor's documentation, including the application's Implementation Guide.	Describe test transactions performed (must utilize all functions of the application that handle account data):		
Using an appropriate "test platform" (if necessary), perform test transactions that utilize all functions of the application that handle account data. Use forensic	<report findings="" here=""></report>		
tools and/or methods (commercial tools, scripts, etc.) to verify that the process provided by the application renders all PAN and/or SAD data irrecoverable, in	Describe forensic tools and/or methods used to inspect the test transactions:		
accordance with industry-accepted standards for secure deletion of data, once the business process of the application is completed.	<report findings="" here=""></report>		
2A-3.1 The application must not output clear-text account data outside of the POI Note: Output of clear-text data that is verified as being unrelated to any of the PC Requirement 2A-3.4		rity of this process is assessed at	
2A-3.1.a Examine the application's design documentation and verify it contains a description of the application's function, including that the application does not output clear-text account data outside of the POI device.	Application design documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2A-3.1.b Perform a source-code review and verify the application never outputs clear-text account data outside of the POI device.	Describe how the source-code review verified that the application never outp clear-text account data outside of the POI device: <report findings="" here=""></report>		



Domain 2: Application Security – Reporting			
Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions and Assessor's Findings		
2A-3.1.c Install and configure the application according to the application vendor's documentation, including the application's Implementation Guide.	Describe test transactions performed (must utilize all functions of the application that handle account data):		
Using an appropriate "test platform" (if necessary), perform test transactions that utilize all functions of the application that handle account data. Use forensic	<report findings="" here=""></report>		
tools and/or methods (commercial tools, scripts, etc.) to verify the application does not output clear-text account data outside of the POI device.	Describe forensic tools and/or methods u	used to inspect the test transactions:	
	<report findings="" here=""></report>		
 2A-3.1.2 If the application facilitates merchant printing of full PANs on receipts du includes the following: The application only transmits clear-text PAN internally within the POI device attached via cabling or other networking mechanisms. 	to an integrated printer that is part of the F		
• The P2PE application securely deletes the clear-text PAN after completion of printing. Note that Domain 1 (at 1B.1.1) and Domain 3 (at 3A-1.3) also include requirements that must be met for any POI device and for a P2PE solution provider, respectively, that facilitates merchant printing of full PAN where there is a legal or regulatory obligation to do so.			
2A-3.1.2.a If the application facilitates merchant printing of full PANs on receipts due to a legal or regulatory obligation, examine the application's design documentation and verify it contains a description of the application's function, including that the printing of full PANs on merchant receipts is a legal/regulatory obligation.	Application design documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
 2A-3.1.2.b If the application facilitates merchant printing of full PANs on receipts due to a legal or regulatory obligation, perform a source-code review and verify the following: The application only transmits clear-text PAN internally within the POI device to an integrated printer that is part of the PCI-approved POI device 	Describe how the source-code review verified that the application only transmits clear-text PAN internally within the POI device to an integrated printer that is part of the PCI-approved POI device and does not include any functionality that sends clear-text PANs to any devices attached via cabling or other networking mechanisms:		
and does not include any functionality that sends clear-text PANs to any devices attached via cabling or other networking mechanisms.	and does not include any functionality that sends clear-text PANs to any <pre></pre> <pre></pre> <pre></pre> <pre></pre> <pre></pre> <pre></pre>		
 The P2PE application securely deletes the clear-text PAN after completion of printing. 	Describe how the source-code review verified that the P2PE application securely deletes the clear-text PAN after completion of printing:		
	<report findings="" here=""></report>		
2A-3.1.2.c If the application facilitates merchant printing of full PANs on receipts due to a legal or regulatory obligation, install and configure the application	Describe test transactions performed (must utilize all functions of the application that handle account data):		
according to the application vendor's documentation, including the application's Implementation Guide. Using an appropriate "test platform" (if necessary),	<report findings="" here=""></report>		
perform test transactions that utilize all functions of the application that handle	Describe forensic tools and/or methods used to inspect the test transactions:		



Domain 2: Application Security – Reporting		
Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions a	nd Assessor's Findings
 account data. Use forensic tools and/or methods (commercial tools, scripts, etc.) to verify that: The application only transmits clear-text PAN internally within the POI device to an integrated printer that is part of the PCI-approved POI device and is not attached via cabling or other networking mechanisms. The P2PE application securely deletes the clear-text PAN after completion of printing. 	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2A-3.2 The application must not facilitate, via its own logical interface(s), sharing Note: The application is allowed to share clear-text account data directly with the	•	er applications.
 2A-3.2.a Examine the application's Implementation Guide required at 2C-3 of this document and determine that it includes the following: A list of all logical interfaces for the application, and the function/purpose of each. The logical interfaces intended for sharing of clear-text account data (e.g., those used to pass clear-text data back to the approved firmware of the POI device). The logical interfaces not intended for sharing of clear-text account data (e.g., those for communication with other applications). Examine the logical interfaces used to communicate with other applications and confirm that the application cannot share clear-text account data with other applications via these logical interfaces. Note that the application may be the only POI-resident application at the time of assessment, but other assessed applications may be added to a P2PE solution at a later date; or the application may be added to a solution that includes pre-approved applications. The assessor must test this requirement with this point in mind. 	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the application's Implementation Guide includes all details for 2A-3.2.a:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2A-3.2.b Perform a source-code review and verify that the application cannot directly facilitate sharing of clear-text account data with other applications via its logical interfaces.	logical interfaces:	
	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2A-3.2.c Install and configure the application according to the application vendor's documentation, including the application's Implementation Guide.	Describe test transactions performed (must utilize all functions of the application that handle account data):	
Using an appropriate "test platform" (if necessary), perform test transactions that utilize all functions of the application that handle account data. Use forensic	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
tools and/or methods (commercial tools, scripts, etc.) to verify that the application cannot directly facilitate sharing of clear-text account data with other	Describe forensic tools and/or methods u	used to inspect the test transactions:
applications via its logical interfaces.	<report findings="" here=""></report>	

PCI Point-to-Point Encryption: Template for Report on Validation for use with P2PE v2.0 (Rev 1.1), Revision 1.0 for P2PE Application validated as part of the Merchant-Managed Solution © 2016 PCI Security Standards Council, LLC. All Rights Reserved. Page 21



Domain 2: Application Security – Reporting		
Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions a	nd Assessor's Findings
2A-3.3 The application must only use external communication methods included in the PCI-approved POI device evaluation. For example, the POI device may provide an IP stack approved per the PTS Open Protocols module, or the device may provide serial ports or modems approved by the PTS evaluation to communicate transaction data encrypted by its PCI PTS SRED functions. Note: Using any external communication methods not included the PCI-approved POI device evaluation will invalidate the PTS approval and such use is prohibited in P2PE solutions.		
2A-3.3.a Examine the POI device vendor's security guidance to determine which external communication methods are approved via the PCI-approved POI	POI device vendor's security guidance documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
 device evaluation. Review the application's design documentation and verify that it contains a description of the application's function including the following: A list of the external communication methods included in the POI device vendor's security guidance. A list of which approved external communication methods are used by the application. A description of where external communications are used by the application. 	Application design documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2A-3.3.b Examine the application's Implementation Guide required at 2C-3 of this document and verify it includes guidance that the use of any other method for external communication is not allowed.	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the application's Implementation Guide includes guidance that the use of any other method for external communication is not allowed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2A-3.3.c Perform a source-code review and verify that, when configured appropriately, the application only utilizes the external communication methods included in the POI device vendor's security guidance and does not implement its own external communication methods (e.g., does not implement its own IP stack).	Describe how the source-code review verified that, when configured appropriately, the application only utilizes the external communication method included in the POI device vendor's security guidance and does not implement its own external communication methods: 	
2A-3.3.d Install and configure the application according to the application vendor's documentation, including the application's Implementation Guide. Using an appropriate "test platform" (if necessary), perform test transactions	Describe test transactions performed (must utilize all functions of the application that handle account data): <i>Report Findings Heres</i>	
that utilize all functions of the application that handle account data. Use forensic tools and/or methods (commercial tools, scripts, etc.) to verify that: The application uses only the external communication methods included in the	Describe forensic tools and/or methods used to inspect the test transactions:	
POI device vendor's security guidance for all external communications.	<report findings="" here=""></report>	



Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions a	nd Assessor's Findings
 2A-3.4 Any whitelisting functionality implemented by the application must include How to configure the whitelisting functionality to ensure the output of clear-te data. How to perform cryptographic signing (or similar) prior to installation on the P How to perform cryptographic authentication by the POI device's firmware. That review of whitelist functionality must be performed to confirm it only outp That such functionality must be approved by authorized personnel prior to im That all new installations or updates to whitelist functionality must include the performant prior and justification for the functionality. 	guidance in the application's Implementation xt account data is prohibited, except for no OI device by authorized personnel using d puts non-PCI payment brand account/card plementation.	on Guide that includes the following n-PCI payment brand account/card ual control.
 Who approved the new installation or updated functionality prior to release Confirmation that it was reviewed prior to release to only output non-PCI p 		
 2A-3.4.a For any whitelisting functionality implemented by the application, examine the application's Implementation Guide required at 2C-3 of this document and verify it contains details to describe any whitelisting functionality and that it provides instructions as follows: How to configure the application functionality to ensure the output of cleartext account data is prohibited, except for non-PCI payment brand account/card data How to perform cryptographic signing (or similar) prior to installation on the POI device by authorized personnel using dual control. How to establish cryptographically authentication by the POI device's firmware. That review of whitelist functionality must be performed to confirm it only outputs non-PCI payment brand account/card data. That such functionality must be approved by authorized personnel prior to implementation. That documentation for all new installations or updates to whitelist functionality includes the following: Description and justification for the functionality. Who approved the new installation or updated functionality prior to release. Confirmation that it was reviewed prior to release to only output non-PCI payment brand account/card data. 	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the application's Implementation Guide includes all details for 2A-3.4.a:	<report findings="" here=""></report>



Domain 2: Application Security – Reporting			
Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions and Assessor's Findings		
 2B-1.1.a Examine the application vendor's written software development processes to verify the following: Processes are based on industry standards and/or best practices. Information security is included throughout the software development life cycle. Applications are developed in accordance with all applicable P2PE requirements. 	Documented software development processes reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
 2B-1.1.b Examine the POI device vendor's security guidance, and verify that any specified software development processes are: Incorporated into the application developer's written software development processes. Implemented per the POI device vendor's security guidance. 	POI device vendor's security guidance documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-1.1.c Examine the application's Implementation Guide required at 2C-3 of this document and verify it provides information from the POI device vendor's security guidance applicable to the solution provider (e.g., application configuration settings which are necessary for the application to function with the device).	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the application's Implementation Guide provides information from the POI device vendor's security guidance applicable to the solution provider:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
 2B-1.1.d Verify each of the items at 2B-1.1.1 through 2B-1.1.3 by performing the following: Examine written software development processes and interview software developers. Examine testing documentation and samples of test data, observe testing processes, and interview software-testing personnel. 	Reporting responses at 2B-1.1.1 and 2B here.	-1.1.2; no further reporting required	
 Examine the final application product. 2B-1.1.1 Live PANs must not be used for testing or development. 			
2B-1.1.1 Live PANs are not used for testing or development.	Documented software development processes reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
	Software developers interviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
	Software-testing personnel interviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
	Describe how testing documentation, sa application product verified that live PAN development:		
	<report findings="" here=""></report>		

PCI Point-to-Point Encryption: Template for Report on Validation for use with P2PE v2.0 (Rev 1.1), Revision 1.0 for P2PE Application validated as part of the Merchant-Managed Solution February 2016 © 2016 PCI Security Standards Council, LLC. All Rights Reserved. Page 24



Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions a	nd Assessor's Findings
2B-1.1.2 Development, test, and/or custom application data/accounts, user IDs, a or released to customers.	and passwords must be removed before ap	oplications are released for production
2B-1.1.2 Examine written software-development procedures and interview responsible personnel to verify that development, test, and/or custom application data/accounts, user IDs, and passwords are removed before an application is released for production or released to customers.	Documented software development processes reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
	Software developers interviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
	Software-testing personnel interviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
	Describe how testing documentation samples of test data and the final application product verified that development, test, and/or custom application application product verified that development, test, and/or custom applicate data/accounts, user IDs, and passwords are removed before an application or released to customers:	
	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-1.2 Application code and any non-code configuration mechanisms must be re The review process includes the following:	viewed prior to every release or update.	
2B-1.2 Examine written software-development procedures and interview responsible personnel to verify the application vendor performs reviews for all	Documented software-development procedures reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
 application code changes and non-code configuration mechanisms as follows: Reviews are performed by an individual, other than the code author, who is knowledgeable in code-review techniques and secure coding practices. 	Responsible personnel interviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
 Changes to code that manages security-sensitive configuration options are reviewed to confirm that they will not result in the exposure of PCI payment-brand accounts/cards. 		
 Code reviews ensure code is developed according to secure coding guidelines. 		
2B-1.2.1 Review of code changes by individuals other than the originating author secure coding practices.	, and by individuals who are knowledgeabl	e in code-review techniques and
2B-1.2.1 Examine code review results for a sample of code changes to confirm that code reviews are performed by an individual other than the code author	Sample of code changes reviewed for 2B-1.2.1 through 1.2.4:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
who is knowledgeable in code-review techniques and secure coding practices.	Describe how code review results for the sample of code changes confirmed that code reviews are performed by an individual other than the code author who is knowledgeable in code-review techniques and secure coding practices	
	who is knowledgeable in code-review led	



Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions a	nd Assessor's Findings
2B-1.2.2 Examine code-review results for a sample of code changes to verify that code reviews ensure code is developed according to secure coding	Describe how code review results for the sample of code changes verified that code reviews ensure code is developed according to secure coding guidelines	
guidelines.	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-1.2.3 Confirming that appropriate corrections are implemented prior to release	9.	
2B-1.2.3 Examine change control documentation for a sample of code changes to verify that appropriate corrections are implemented prior to release.	Change control documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2B-1.2.4 Review and approval of review results by management prior to release.		
2B-1.2.4 Examine change control documentation for a sample of code changes to verify that review results are reviewed and approved by management prior to release.	Change control documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2B-1.3 All changes to the application must follow change-control procedures. The procedures must include the following:		
 2B-1.3.a Obtain and examine the developer's change-control procedures for software modifications, and verify that the procedures require the following: Documentation of customer impact 	Documented developer change-control procedures for software modifications reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
 Documented approval of change by appropriate authorized parties Functionality testing to verify that the change does not adversely impact the 		
security of the device		
 Back-out or application de-installation procedures 2B-1.3.b Examine the application's Implementation Guide required at 2C-3 of this document and verify it includes the following: Documentation detailing the impact of all changes included in the relevant 	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the application's Implementation Guide includes all	<report findings="" here=""></report>
 application release Instructions detailing back-out or de-installation procedures for the application 	details for 2B-1.3.b:	
2B-1.3.c Examine recent application changes, and trace those changes back to elated change-control documentation. Verify that, for each change examined,	Identify the sample of recent application changes:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
he following was documented according to the change-control procedures:	Related change-control documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>



Domain 2: Application Security – Reporting		
Requirements and Testing Procedures Reporting Instructions and Assessor's Finding		
2B-1.3.1 Verify that documentation of customer impact is included in the change-control documentation for each change.	Identify the P2PE Assessor who verified that, for each change examined, this was documented according to the change-control procedures:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2B-1.3.2 Documented approval of change by appropriate authorized parties		
2B-1.3.2 Verify that documented approval by appropriate authorized parties is present for each change.	Identify the P2PE Assessor who verified that, for each change examined, this was documented according to the change-control procedures:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2B-1.3.3 Functionality testing to verify that the change does not adversely impact	t the security of the device.	
2B-1.3.3.a For each sampled change, verify that functionality testing was performed to verify that the change does not adversely impact the security of the device.	Identify the P2PE Assessor who verified that, for each change examined, this was documented according to the change-control procedures:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2B-1.3.3.b Verify that all changes (including patches) are tested per secure coding guidance before being released.	Identify the P2PE Assessor who verified that, for each change examined, this was documented according to the change-control procedures:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2B-1.3.4 Back-out, rollback, or application de-installation procedures.		
2B-1.3.4 Verify that back-out, rollback, or application de-installation procedures are prepared for each change.	Identify the P2PE Assessor who verified that, for each change examined, this was documented according to the change-control procedures:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2B-1.4 Applications must be developed according to industry best practices for s	secure coding techniques, including (but r	not limited to):
 Developing with least privilege. Developing with fail-safe exception handling. Developing with defensive (protective) techniques regarding the logical input 	t interfaces of the application.	
2B-1.4 Examine software development processes and interview software developers to verify that secure coding techniques are defined and include:	Documented software processes reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>



Domain 2: Application Security – Reporting			
Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions and Assessor's Findings		
 Developing with least privilege. Developing with fail-safe defaults. Developing with defensive (protective) techniques regarding the logical input interfaces of the application. 2B-1.4.1 Application development processes must include prevention of common 	Software developers interviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-1.4.1.a Obtain and review software development processes for applications. Verify the process includes prevention of common coding vulnerabilities relevant to the programming languages and platforms in use.	Documented software processes reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-1.4.1.b Verify that applications are not vulnerable to common coding vulnerabilities by performing manual or automated penetration testing that specifically attempts to exploit vulnerabilities relevant to the application (an example of such a vulnerability would include buffer overflows).	Describe how manual or automated penetrating testing performed verified that applications are not vulnerable to common coding vulnerabilities: 		
 2B-1.4.2 Application risk-assessment techniques (e.g., (application threat-modeli vulnerabilities during the software-development process. Risk-assessment process Coverage of all functions of the application, including but not limited to, secure Assessment of application decision points, process flows, data flows, data st Identification of all areas within the application that interact with account data account data. 	sses include the following: rity-impacting features and features that cro orage, and trust boundaries.	oss trust boundaries.	
 A list of potential threats and vulnerabilities resulting from account-data flow a Implementation of appropriate corrections and countermeasures during the c Documentation of application risk-assessment results for management review 	levelopment process.	gh, medium, or low priority) to each.	
2B-1.4.2 Examine written software development procedures and interview responsible personnel to verify the vendor uses application risk-assessment	Documented software development procedures reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	



De autinemente, en d'Esptin a Dressedures		
Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions and Assessor's Findings	
 techniques as part of the software development process, and that the processes include: Coverage of all functions of the application, including but not limited to, security-impacting features and features that cross trust boundaries. Assessment of application decision points, process flows, data flows, data storage, and trust boundaries. Identification of all areas within applications that interact with account data, as well as any process-oriented outcomes that could lead to the exposure 	Responsible personnel interviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
 of account data. A list of potential threats and vulnerabilities resulting from account-data flow analyses, and assigned risk ratings (e.g., high, medium, or low priority) to each. Implementation of appropriate corrections and countermeasures during the development process. Documentation of application risk-assessment results for management review and approval. 		
2B-1.5 Application vendor must provide training in secure development practices technology used, e.g.:		
 Secure application design. Secure coding techniques to avoid common coding vulnerabilities (e.g., vend Managing sensitive data in memory. Code reviews. Security testing (e.g., penetration testing techniques). Risk-assessment techniques. Note: Training for application developers may be provided in-house or by third pailed, and computer-based. 		
 Secure coding techniques to avoid common coding vulnerabilities (e.g., vend Managing sensitive data in memory. Code reviews. Security testing (e.g., penetration testing techniques). Risk-assessment techniques. Note: Training for application developers may be provided in-house or by third paled, and computer-based. 2B-1.5.a Verify documented software development processes require training in secure development practices for application developers, as applicable for the 		
 Secure coding techniques to avoid common coding vulnerabilities (e.g., vend Managing sensitive data in memory. Code reviews. Security testing (e.g., penetration testing techniques). Risk-assessment techniques. Note: Training for application developers may be provided in-house or by third particular techniques.	rties. Examples of how training may be de	elivered include on-the-job, instructor
 Secure coding techniques to avoid common coding vulnerabilities (e.g., vend Managing sensitive data in memory. Code reviews. Security testing (e.g., penetration testing techniques). Risk-assessment techniques. Note: Training for application developers may be provided in-house or by third paled, and computer-based. 2B-1.5.a Verify documented software development processes require training in secure development practices for application developers, as applicable for the developer's job function and technology used. 2B-1.5.b Interview a sample of developers to verify that they are knowledgeable in secure development practices and coding techniques, as applicable to the technology used. 2B-1.5.c Examine records of training to verify that all application developers receive training as applicable for their job function and technology used. 	rties. Examples of how training may be de Documented software development processes reviewed: Sample of developers interviewed: Identify records of training for application developers examined:	elivered include on-the-job, instructor
 Secure coding techniques to avoid common coding vulnerabilities (e.g., vend Managing sensitive data in memory. Code reviews. Security testing (e.g., penetration testing techniques). Risk-assessment techniques. Note: Training for application developers may be provided in-house or by third paled, and computer-based. 2B-1.5.a Verify documented software development processes require training in secure development practices for application developers, as applicable for the developer's job function and technology used. 2B-1.5.b Interview a sample of developers to verify that they are knowledgeable in secure development practices and coding techniques, as applicable to the technology used. 2B-1.5.c Examine records of training to verify that all application developers 	rties. Examples of how training may be de Documented software development processes reviewed: Sample of developers interviewed: Identify records of training for application developers examined:	elivered include on-the-job, instructo <report findings="" here=""> <report findings="" here=""></report></report>

PCI Point-to-Point Encryption: Template for Report on Validation for use with P2PE v2.0 (Rev 1.1), Revision 1.0 for P2PE Application validated as part of the Merchant-Managed Solution © 2016 PCI Security Standards Council, LLC. All Rights Reserved. Page 29



Domain 2: Application Security – Reporting			
Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions a	nd Assessor's Findings	
2B-1.5.1 Examine training materials and interview a sample of developers to verify that training is updated as needed to address new development technologies and methods used.	Sample of developers interviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-1.6 Secure source-control practices must be implemented to verify integrity of	source-code during the development proc	ess.	
2B-1.6.a Examine written software-development procedures and interview responsible personnel to verify the vendor maintains secure source-code	Documented software development procedures reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
control practices to verify integrity of source-code during the development process.	Responsible personnel interviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-1.6.b Examine mechanisms and observe procedures for securing source- code to verify integrity of source-code is maintained during the development	Describe how mechanisms and procedures for securing source-code verified that integrity of source-code is maintained during the development process:		
process.	<report findings="" here=""></report>		
2B-1.7 The application vendor must document and follow a software-versioning methodology as part of their system-development lifecycle. The methodology must follow the procedures in the P2PE Program Guide for changes to payment applications and include at least the following:			
2B-1.7.a Examine documented software-development processes to verify they include the application vendor's versioning methodology, and that the versioning methodology must be in accordance with the P2PE Program Guide. Verify that the documented versioning methodology is required to be followed for the application, including all changes to the application.	Documented software development procedures reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
 2B-1.7.1 The vendor's software versioning methodology must define the specific Details of how the elements of the version scheme are in accordance with re The format of the version scheme, including number of elements, separators characters). Definition of what each element represents in the version scheme (e.g., type Definition of elements that indicate use of wildcards. Note: Wildcards may only be substituted for elements of the version number that requirements on the use of wildcards. 	quirements specified in the P2PE Program , character set, etc. (consisting of alphabet of change, major, minor, or maintenance r	Guide. ic, numeric, and/or alphanumeric elease, wildcard, etc.).	
2B-1.7.1.a Examine recent application changes, the version numbers assigned, and the change control documentation that specifies the type of application	Change control documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
change and verify that the elements in the version number match the applicable change and the parameters defined in the documented versioning methodology.	Describe how the recent application char change control documentation verified th match the applicable change and the par versioning methodology:	at the elements in the version number	
	<report findings="" here=""></report>		



Domain 2: Application Security – Reporting		
Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions and Assessor's Findings	
2B-1.7.1.b Interview a sample of developers and verify that they are knowledgeable in the version scheme, including the acceptable use of wildcards in the version number.	Sample of developers interviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
 2B-1.8 The versioning methodology must indicate the type and impact of all appli Description of all types and impacts of application changes (e.g., changes that Specific identification and definition of changes that: Have no impact on functionality of the application or its dependencies Have impact on application functionality but no impact on security or P2PE Have impact to any security functionality or P2PE requirement. How each type of change ties to a specific version number. 	at have no impact, low impact, or high impact	
 2B-1.8.a Examine the software vendor's documented versioning methodology to verify the version methodology includes: Description of all types and impacts of application changes (e.g., changes that have no impact, low impact, or high impact to the application). Specific identification and definition of changes that: Have no impact on functionality of the application or its dependencies Have impact on application functionality but no impact on security or P2PE requirements Have impact to any security functionality or P2PE requirement. 	Documented versioning methodology reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2B-1.8.b Verify that the versioning methodology is in accordance with the P2PE Program Guide requirements.	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the versioning methodology is in accordance with the P2PE Program Guide requirements:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2B-1.8.c Interview personnel and observe processes for each type of change to verify that the documented methodology is being followed for all types of	Personnel interviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
changes.	Describe processes observed that verified that the documented methodolog being followed for all types of changes: <report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-1.8.d Select a sample of recent payment application changes and review the change control documentation that specifies the type of application change	Sample of recent payment application changes reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
to verify that the version assigned to the change matches the type of change according to the documented methodology.	Change control documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>



Domain 2: Application Security – Reporting		
Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions a	nd Assessor's Findings
 2B-1.9 The versioning methodology must specifically identify whether wildcards a Details of how wildcards are used in the versioning methodology. Wildcards are never used for any change that has an impact on the security Any element of the version number used to represent a non-security-impactin security impacting change. Wildcard elements must not precede version elements that could represent selement must not be used to represent security-impacting changes. Note: Wildcards may only be used in accordance with the P2PE Program Guide. 	of the application and/or the POI device. ng change (including a wildcard element) n	nust never be used to represent a
 2B-1.9.a Examine the software vendor's documented versioning methodology to verify that it includes specific identification of how wildcards are used, including: Details of how wildcards are used in the versioning methodology. Wildcards are never used for any change that has an impact on the security of the application and/or the POI device. Any element of the version number used to represent a non-security-impacting change (including a wildcard element) must never be used to represent a security impacting change. Any elements to the right of a wildcard cannot be used for a security-impacting change. Version elements reflecting a security-impacting change must appear "to the left of" the first wildcard element. 	Documented versioning methodology reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2B-1.9.b Verify that any use of wildcards is in accordance with the P2PE Program Guide requirements—e.g., elements that appear after a wildcard element cannot be used for a security impacting change.	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that any use of wildcards documented versioning methodology is in accordance with the P2PE Program Guide:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
 2B-1.9.c Interview personnel and observe processes for each type of change to verify that: Wildcards are never used for any change that has an impact on security or any P2PE requirements. Elements of the version number used to represent non-security-impacting changes (including a wildcard element) are never be used to represent a security impacting change. 	Personnel interviewed: <report findings="" here=""></report>	
	Sample of recent payment applications reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>



Domain 2: Application Security – Reporting			
Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions and Assessor's Findings		
 2B-1.9.d Select a sample of recent payment application changes and review the change control documentation that specifies the type of application change. Verify that: Wildcards are not used for any change that has an impact on security or any P2PE requirements. Elements of the version number used to represent non-security-impacting changes (including a wildcard element) are not used to represent a security impacting change. 	Change control documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-1.10 The vendor's published versioning methodology must be communicated	to customers and integrators/ resellers.		
 2B-1.10 Verify the application's Implementation Guide required at 2C-3 of this document includes a description of the vendor's published versioning methodology for customers and integrators/resellers, and includes the following: Details of versioning scheme, including the format of the version scheme (number of elements, separators, character set, etc.) Details of how security-impacting changes will be indicated by the version scheme Details of how other types of changes will affect the version Details of any wildcard elements that are used, including confirmation that they will never be used to represent a security-impacting change 	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the application's Implementation Guide includes all details for 2B-1.10:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-1.11 If an internal version mapping to published versioning scheme is used, th external versions.	e versioning methodology must include ma	apping of internal versions to the	
2B-1.11.a Examine the documented version methodology to verify it includes a mapping of internal versions to published external versions.	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the documented version methodology includes a mapping of internal versions to published external versions:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-1.11.b Examine recent changes to confirm internal version mapping to published versioning scheme match according to the type of change.	Sample of recent changes reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-1.12 Software vendor must have a process in place to review application update	ates for conformity with the versioning mether	nodology prior to release.	
2B-1.12.a Examine documented software development processes and the versioning methodology to verify there is a process in place to review application updates for conformity with the versioning methodology prior to release.	Documented software development processes reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-1.12.b Interview software developers and observe processes to verify that application updates are reviewed for conformity with the versioning	Software developers interviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
methodology prior to release.	Describe processes observed that verifie reviewed for conformity with the versionir		

PCI Point-to-Point Encryption: Template for Report on Validation for use with P2PE v2.0 (Rev 1.1), Revision 1.0 for P2PE Application validated as part of the Merchant-Managed Solution February 2016 © 2016 PCI Security Standards Council, LLC. All Rights Reserved. Page 33



Domain 2: Application Security – Reporting				
Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions and Assessor's Findings			
	<report findings="" here=""></report>			
2B-1.13 Software vendor must implement a process to document and authorize th must include:	ne final release of the application and any	application updates. Documentation		
• Signature by an authorized party to formally approve release of the application	on or application update.			
• Confirmation that secure development processes were followed by the vendo	or.			
2B-1.13.a Examine documented processes to verify that final release of the application and any application updates are formally approved and documented, including a signature by an authorized party to formally approve the release and confirmation that all SDLC processes were followed.	Documented processes reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>		
 2B-1.13.b For a sample of recent releases of application and application updates, review approval documentation to verify it includes: Formal approval and signature by an authorized party. 	Sample of recent releases of application and application updates reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>		
Confirmation that that all secure development processes were followed.	Approval documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>		
2B-2.1 Where the application relies on the Open Protocol functionality of the POI device firmware, the application must be developed in accordance with the POI device vendor's security guidance. Note: POI device vendor security guidance is intended for application developers, system integrators, and end-users of the platform to meet requirements in the PCI PTS Open Protocols module as part of a PCI-approved POI device evaluation.				
2B-2.1.a Examine documented processes (including design documentation) and verify the application is developed in accordance with the POI device vendor's security guidance.	Documented processes reviewed (including design documentation):	<report findings="" here=""></report>		
 2B-2.1.b Review the application's Implementation Guide required at 2C-3 of this document and confirm that it includes the following in accordance with the POI device vendor's security guidance: Any instructions on how to securely configure any configurable options, as applicable to the application's specific business processing Any guidance that the POI device vendor intended for integrators/ resellers, solution providers, and/or end-users 	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the application's Implementation Guide includes all details for 2B-2.1.b:	<report findings="" here=""></report>		



Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions and Assessor's Findings	
 2B-2.1.1 The application must not circumvent, bypass, or add additional services documented in the POI device vendor's security guidance. This includes the use e Link Layer protocols IP protocols Security protocols IP services Note: The PTS POI Open Protocols module ensures that open protocols and ser and yield access to sensitive data or resources in the device. The POI device ver provides guidance to their use. Adding or enabling additional services or protocols, or failing to follow the issued device for that implementation. 	of: vices in POI devices do not have vulnerabi ndor defines what protocols and services an	lities that can be remotely exploited re supported by the device and
 2B-2.1.1. Perform a source-code review and verify that the application: Was developed according to the POI device vendor's security guidance with respect to the documented Open Protocols. 	Describe how the source-code review verified that the application was developed according to the POI device vendor's security guidance with to the documented Open Protocols:	
 Does not circumvent, bypass, or add additional services or protocols to the Open Protocols of the POI device firmware as approved and 	to <report findings="" here=""> Describe how the source-code review verified that the application does not circumvent, bypass, or add additional services or protocols to the Open Protocols of the POI device firmware as approved and documented in the device's vendor security guidance: <report findings="" here=""></report></report>	
documented in the POI device's vendor security guidance. This includes the use of: • Link Layer protocols • IP protocols		
 Security protocols IP services 		
2B-2.2 The application-development process must include secure integration with	any resources shared with or between ap	plications.
 2B-2.2.a Review the POI device vendor's security guidance and the application's Implementation Guide. Confirm that the application's Implementation Guide required at 2C-3 of this document is in accordance with any applicable information in the POI device vendor's security guidance, and includes the following: A list of shared resources. A description of how the application connects to and/or uses shared resources. 	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the application's Implementation Guide includes all details for 2B-2.2.a:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
• Instructions for how the application should be configured to ensure secure integration with shared resources.		
2B-2.2.b Perform a source-code review and verify that any connection to, or use of, shared resources is done securely and in accordance with the POI device vendor's security guidance.	Describe how the source-code review verified that any connection to, or use shared resources is done securely and in accordance with the POI device vendor's security guidance:	



Domain 2: Application Security – Reporting		
Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions and Assessor's Findings	
	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-2.2.c Install and configure the application according to the application vendor's documentation, including the application's Implementation Guide. Using an appropriate "test platform" (if necessary), perform test transactions that utilize all functions of the application that handle account data. Use forensic	Describe test transactions performed (must utilize all functions of the application that handle account data):	
	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
tools and/or methods (commercial tools, scripts, etc.) to verify that any connections to, or use of, shared resources are handled securely and in	Describe forensic tools and/or methods used to inspect the test transactions:	
accordance with the POI device vendor's security guidance.	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-2.3 Applications do not bypass or render ineffective any application segregation	on that is enforced by the POI device.	
2B-2.3 Perform a source-code review and verify that applications do not bypass or render ineffective any application segregation that is enforced by the POI device, in accordance with the POI device vendor's security guidance.	Describe how the source-code review verified that applications do not bypass or render ineffective any application segregation that is enforced by the POI device:	
	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-2.4 Applications do not bypass or render ineffective any OS hardening implementation of the second secon	hented by the POI device.	
2B-2.4 Perform a source-code review and verify that applications do not bypass or render ineffective any OS hardening which is implemented by the POI device, in accordance with the device vendor's security guidance.	Describe how the source-code review verified that applications do not bypass or render ineffective any OS hardening which is implemented by the POI device:	
	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-2.4 Perform a source-code review and verify that applications do not bypass or render ineffective any OS hardening which is implemented by the POI device, in accordance with the device vendor's security guidance.		
2B-2.5 Perform a source-code review and verify that applications do not bypass or render ineffective any encryption or account-data security methods implemented by the POI device, in accordance with the device vendor's security	Describe how the source-code review verified that applications do not bypass or render ineffective any encryption or account-data security methods implemented by the POI device:	
guidance.	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-2.6 If the application provides configuration/update functionality at-the-terminal (e.g., using an on-screen menu system), the application must not bypass or render ineffective any applicable controls contained within this standard. Note: Some applications may provide administrative or other privileged functions at the terminal, such as the ability to load whitelists or change other application configurations. Any such functions provided in this way must meet all applicable P2PE requirements.		
2B-2.6 If the application provides configuration/update functionality at the terminal, perform a functional test of the application loaded on each applicable	Describe how functional test of the application loaded on each applicable POI device type verified that the application does not bypass or render ineffective any applicable controls contained within this standard:	



Domain 2: Application Security – Reporting		
Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions and Assessor's Findings	
POI device type and verify that the application does not bypass or render ineffective any applicable controls contained within this standard.	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-3.1 The application developer's process must include full documentation, and following:	integration testing of the application and in	tended platforms, including the
2B-3.1 Through observation and review of the application developer's system development documentation, confirm the application developer's process includes full documentation and integration testing of the application and intended platforms, including the following:	Application developer's system development documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2B-3.1.1 The application developer must provide key-management security guida Examples of guidance include which cryptographic certificates to load, how to loa		
2B-3.1.1 Review the application's Implementation Guide required at 2C-3 of this document, and confirm it includes key-management security guidance for solution providers, describing how cryptographic keys and certificates have to be used and managed.	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the application's Implementation Guide includes all details for 2B-3.1.1:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2B-3.1.2 The application developer must perform final integration testing on the device, which includes identification and correction of any residual vulnerabilities stemming from the integration with the vendor's platform.		
2B-3.1.2 Interview application developers to confirm that final integration testing, which includes identification and correction of any residual vulnerabilities stemming from the integration with the vendor's platform, was performed.	Application developers interviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2B-4.1 The application must not encrypt clear-text account data. This means the intended to be used instead of the approved SRED functions of the POI device.	application must not implement any encryp	tion functions that bypass or are
 2B-4.1.a Examine the application's Implementation Guide required at 2C-3 of this document and verify the description of the application's function includes the following: Confirmation that the application does not perform encryption of clear-text account-data, nor does it replace the POI device's SRED encryption A description of the purpose and encryption method for any encryption provided by the application in addition to SRED encryption 	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the application's Implementation Guide includes all details for 2B-4.1.a:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2B-4.1.b Perform a source-code review to verify that any application functionality facilitating the encryption of account data utilizes the approved cryptographic algorithm(s) and associated key-management functions of the POI device's SRED firmware and is not implemented within the application itself.	Describe how the source-code review verified that any application functionality facilitating the encryption of account data utilizes the approved cryptographic algorithm(s) and associated key-management functions of the POI device's SRED firmware and is not implemented within the application itself:	
	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2B-4.1.c Install and configure the application according to the application vendor's documentation, including the application's Implementation Guide.	Describe test transactions performed (must utilize all functions of the application that handle account data):	



Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions and Assessor's Findings	
Using an appropriate "test platform" (if necessary), perform test transactions that utilize all functions of the application that handle account data. Use forensic tools and/or methods (commercial tools, scripts, etc.) to verify the application does not perform encryption of account-data nor does it replace the SRED	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
	Describe forensic tools and/or methods	s used to inspect the test transactions
encryption performed by the underlying POI device's firmware.	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2C-1.1 Software developers must establish and implement a process to identify a prior to every release (including updates or patches) using manual or automated		nerabilities and implementation errors
 2C-1.1.a Obtain and examine processes to identify new vulnerabilities and test applications for vulnerabilities that may affect the application. Verify the processes include the following: Using outside sources for security vulnerability information. Periodic testing of applications for new vulnerabilities. 	Documented processes reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
 2C-1.1.b Interview responsible software vendor personnel to confirm the following: New vulnerabilities are identified using outside sources of security vulnerability information. 	Responsible software vendor personnel interviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
 All applications are tested for vulnerabilities. 2C-1.2 Software vendors must establish and implement a process to develop and a timely manner. Note: A "critical security update" is one that addresses an imminent risk to account 		ess discovered security vulnerabilities
2C-1.2.a Obtain and examine processes to develop and deploy application security upgrades. Verify that processes include the timely development and deployment of critical security updates to customers.	Documented processes reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2C-1.2.b Interview responsible software-vendor personnel to confirm that application security updates are developed and critical security updates are deployed in a timely manner.	Responsible software vendor personnel interviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
	authenticated as follows:	



Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions and Assessor's Findings	
 2C-2.1.1.a Examine the application's Implementation Guide required at 2C-3 of this document and verify that it includes the following: A description of how the application is cryptographically authenticated using the approved security mechanisms of the POI device's firmware for any application installations and updates. Instructions for how to use the approved security mechanisms to perform application installations and updates. A statement that application installations and updates cannot occur except by using the approved security mechanisms of the POI device's firmware. 	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the application's Implementation Guide includes all details for 2C-2.1.1.a:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2C-2.1.1.b Perform a source-code review to verify that the application only allows installations and updates using the approved security mechanisms of the POI device's firmware.	Describe how the source-code review verified that the application only allows installations and updates using the approved security mechanisms of the POI device's firmware:	
	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2C-2.1.1.c Install and configure the application according to the application vendor's documentation, including the application's Implementation Guide. Use forensic tools and/or methods (commercial tools, scripts, etc.) to verify that, by following the Implementation Guide, the application only allows installations and	Describe test transactions performed (must utilize all functions of the application that handle account data):	
	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
updates using the approved security mechanisms of the POI device's firmware.	Describe forensic tools and/or methods used to inspect the test transactions:	
	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2C-2.1.1.d After the application is installed and configured in accordance with the Implementation Guide, attempt to perform an installation and an update using non-approved security mechanisms, and verify that the POI device will	Describe how attempting to perform an approved security mechanisms verified installation or update to occur:	
not allow the installation or update to occur.	<report findings="" here=""></report>	
2C-2.1.2 The application developer includes guidance for whoever signs the appli process.	cation, including requirements for dual co	ontrol over the application-signing
 2C-2.1.2 Examine the application's Implementation Guide required at 2C-3 of this document and verify that it includes the following: Instructions for how to sign the application. Instructions how to implement the dual control for the application-signing process. A statement that all applications must be signed via the instructions provided in the Implementation Guide. 	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the application's Implementation Guide includes all details for 2C-2.1.2:	<report findings="" here=""></report>



Domain 2: Applicatio	n Security – Reporting	
Requirements and Testing Procedures	Reporting Instructions and Assessor's Findings	
2C-3.1 Examine the Implementation Guide and related processes, and verify the guide is disseminated to all relevant application installers and users (including customers, resellers, and integrators).	Documented processes for dissemination reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2C-3.1.1 Addresses all requirements in P2PE Domain 2 wherever the Implement	ation Guide is referenced.	
2C-3.1.1 Verify the Implementation Guide covers all related requirements in P2PE Domain 2.	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the application's Implementation Guide includes all details for 2C-3.1.1:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2C-3.1.2 Review of the Implementation Guide at least annually and upon change to keep the documentation current with:	s to the application or the P2PE Domain 2	requirements, and update as needed
 Any changes to the application (e.g., device changes/upgrades and maj Any changes to the Implementation Guide requirements in this document 	C ,	
2C-3.1.2.a Verify the Implementation Guide is reviewed at least annually and upon changes to the application or the P2PE Domain 2 requirements.	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the application's Implementation Guide includes all details for 2C-3.1.2.a:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
 2C-3.1.2.b Verify the Implementation Guide is updated as needed to keep the documentation current with: Any changes to the application (e.g., device changes/upgrades and major and minor software changes). Any changes to the Implementation Guide requirements in this document. 	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the application's Implementation Guide includes all details for 2C-3.1.2.b:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2C-3.1.3 Distribution to all new and existing application installers (e.g., solution p installers every time the guide is updated.	roviders, integrator/resellers, etc.), and re-	distribution to all existing application
2C-3.1.3 Verify the Implementation Guide is distributed to new application installers, and re-distributed to all application installers every time the guide is updated.	Identify the P2PE Assessor who confirms that the application's Implementation Guide includes all details for 2C-3.1.3:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2C-3.2 Develop and implement training and communication programs to ensure a implement the application according to the Implementation Guide.	application installers (e.g., solution provide	rs or integrators/resellers) know how
2C-3.2 Examine the training materials and communication program, and confirm the materials cover all items noted for the Implementation Guide throughout P2PE Domain 2.	Training materials and communication program documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>
2C-3.2.1 Review the training materials for application installers on an annual bas ensure materials are current with the Implementation Guide.	is and whenever new application versions	are released. Update as needed to



Domain 2: Application Security – Reporting			
Requirements and Testing Procedures Reporting Instructions and Assessor's Findings			
2C-3.2.1 Examine the training materials for resellers and integrators and verify the materials are reviewed on an annual basis and when new application versions are released, and updated as needed.	Training materials and communication program documentation reviewed:	<report findings="" here=""></report>	